





Magneto centrifugal winds from accretion discs around black hole binaries

Susmita Chakravorty

Indian Institute of Science

with Pierre-Olivier Petrucci, Jonathan Ferreira, Gilles Henri as part of the ANR-CHAOS collaboration Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble (IPAG)

Thanks: Julia Lee and Joey Neilsen

From quiescence to outburst: when microquasars go wild 26th September, 2017



Companion Star

Wind

Accretion Disk



Companion Star



Accretion Disk



What do we see using X-ray spectra?



Ponti et.al 2012



What do we see using X-ray spectra?



Hard -

Diskbb; r_{in} = 12 $r_g \rightarrow T_{in}$ = 0.33 keV Powerlaw - Γ = 1.8 $L_{disk}/L_{Pl} = 0.2$ in 2 - 20 keV

What do we see using X-ray spectra?



GROJ 1655, using Chandra, Neilsen & Homann, 2012



How are the winds accelerated?

We see the absorption lines when we see through the outflow

<u>Some physical mechanism</u> is lifting material off the accretion disk and accelerating it

Search for the <u>accelerating physical mechanism</u> is on

Magnetic fields:

Our group has MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) models of outflows We show how well (or not) we explain BHB winds with them





Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119 Chakravorty+ 2017 in preparation

Pre computed MHD model of outflow from the disk (Ferreira 1997, Casse & Ferreira, 2000)

Predicts many physical quantities as a function of distance (r, z) from black hole

Gas density, Gas velocity, Magnetic Field etc.

The solutions are self similar. Hence can spread out to large distances.

Main relevant parameters Disk aspect ratio ε (= h/r) Ejection efficiency p (where M_{acc} = r^p)



Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119 Chakravorty+ 2017 in preparation

Pre computed MHD model of outflow from the disk (Ferreira 1997, Casse & Ferreira, 2000)

Predicts many physical quantities as a function of distance (r, z) from black hole

Gas density, Gas velocity, Magnetic Field etc.

The solutions are self similar. Hence can spread out to large distances.

Main relevant parameters Disk aspect ratio ε (= h/r) Ejection efficiency p (where M_{acc} = r^p)



The ejection or outflow of material is related to the accretion
<u>Ejection Mechanism - **not** a free parameter (unlike ADIOS scenarios)</u>

$$n^+ m_p = \rho^+ \simeq \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \frac{\dot{M}_{acc}}{4\pi \Omega_K r^3}$$

$$\sigma \sim 1/p, V_{max} \sim p^{-1/2}$$

Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119 Chakravorty+ 2017 in preparation

Pre computed MHD model of outflow from the disk (Ferreira 1997, Casse & Ferreira, 2000)

Predicts many physical quantities as a function of distance (r, z) from black hole

Gas density, Gas velocity, Magnetic Field etc.

The solutions are self similar. Hence can spread out to large distances.

Main relevant parameters Disk aspect ratio ε (= h/r) Ejection efficiency p (where M_{acc} = r^p)



The ejection or outflow of material is related to the accretion <u>Ejection Mechanism - **not** a free parameter (unlike ADIOS scenarios)</u>

$$n^+ m_p = \rho^+ \simeq \frac{p}{\varepsilon} \frac{\dot{M}_{acc}}{4\pi \Omega_K r^3}$$





Find the wind region within the MHD model



Find the wind region within the MHD model





Only a small fraction of the outflow is observable wind

A Cold model with ϵ = h/r = 0.001 and p = 0.04





Cold vs warm magnetic solutions



21

Cold vs warm magnetic solutions



Why no winds in the hard state?











Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119

We have devised ways to implement ~ correct ionization state ~ correct column density

We have ruled out Cold MHD solutions

Warm MHD solutions work Disk surface heating lifts of gas Magnetic acceleration follows

<u>Works for "average" winds</u> Density < 10¹² cm⁻³, Velocity ≤ 10³ Km/s We are at par with thermal pressure models

But what about "extreme" winds?

Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119

We have devised ways to implement ~ correct ionization state ~ correct column density

We have ruled out Cold MHD solutions

Warm MHD solutions work Disk surface heating lifts of gas Magnetic acceleration follows

<u>Works for "average" winds</u> Density < 10¹² cm⁻³, Velocity ≤ 10³ Km/s We are at par with thermal pressure models

But what about "extreme" winds? There is hope and we are working on it Warm MHD model with high p will explain extreme winds (ejection index)

> We need MHD models with high ejection index p Only Warm solutions can provide them We do not yet have those models - we intend to build them

Reasonable extrapolations show - we can reproduce the extreme winds if p = 0.5

Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119

We have devised ways to implement ~ correct ionization state ~ correct column density

We have ruled out Cold MHD solutions

Warm MHD solutions work Disk surface heating lifts of gas Magnetic acceleration follows

<u>Works for "average" winds</u> Density < 10¹² cm⁻³, Velocity ≤ 10³ Km/s We are at par with thermal pressure models

But what about "extreme" winds? There is hope and we are working on it Warm MHD model with high p will explain extreme winds (ejection index)

> We need MHD models with high ejection index p Only Warm solutions can provide them We do not yet have those models - we intend to build them

> Reasonable extrapolations show - we can reproduce the extreme winds if p = 0.5

Work in progress

Absorption spectra in terms of MHD parameters (p and ϵ) and i (inclination angle)

What do they predict?

Lets check it out

MHD winds from the accretion disk: Simulate spectra to fit to observations

Work in progress

Absorption spectra in terms of MHD parameters (p and ϵ) and i (inclination angle)

Line of sight



75 km/s

MHD winds from the accretion disk: Simulate spectra to fit to observations

Work in progress

Absorption spectra in terms of MHD parameters (p and ϵ) and i (inclination angle)

Note: We are keeping our methods generic

A code that can work for any outflow solution

A velocity resolution that can take care of future missions -Athena at 6.5 keV ~ 300 km/s The limit 75 km/s comes from the limits of CLOUDY

Line of sight



75 km/s

MHD winds from the accretion disk: Simulate spectra to fit to observations



The effect of high resolution







The effect of angle of line of sight



What remains to be done?



"Best Warm Solution" ionised by a Soft state SED



What remains to be done?

How would the spectra in Hard State compare?



"Best Warm Solution" ionised by a Soft state SED

Still the "Best Warm Solution" but now, ionised by a Hard state SED



39



What remains to be done?

Simulate spectra to fit to observations



Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119

We have devised ways to implement ~ correct ionization state ~ correct column density

We have ruled out Cold MHD solutions

Warm MHD solutions work Disk surface heating lifts of gas Magnetic acceleration follows

<u>Works for "average" winds</u> Density < 10¹² cm⁻³, Velocity ≤ 10³ Km/s We are at par with thermal pressure models

But what about "extreme" winds? There is hope and we are working on it Thank You Questions

Work in progress

Chakravorty+ 17 (to be submitted soon!)

Absorption spectra in terms of MHD parameters (p and ε) and i (inclination angle)

We have checked what they predict

We have **<u>not</u>** dealt with emission lines!

Chakravorty+ 2016, A&A, 589A, 119

We have devised ways to implement ~ correct ionization state ~ correct column density

We have ruled out Cold MHD solutions

Warm MHD solutions work Disk surface heating lifts of gas Magnetic acceleration follows

<u>Works for "average" winds</u> Density < 10¹² cm-3, Velocity ≤ 10³ Km/s We are at par with thermal pressure models

But what about "extreme" winds? There is hope and we are working on it Thank You Questions

Work in progress

Chakravorty+ 17 (to be submitted soon!)

Absorption spectra in terms of MHD parameters (p and ε) and i (inclination angle)

We have checked what they predict

We have **<u>not</u>** dealt with emission lines!

Future

For our MHD solutions Table models for xspec?

Our methods are generic - applicable to any solutions. Please use our methods Hyperlinks

How are the winds accelerated?

We see the absorption lines when we see through the outflow

<u>Some physical mechanism</u> is lifting material off the accretion disk and accelerating it

Search for the <u>accelerating physical mechanism</u> is on

<u>Magnetic fields:</u> Our group has MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) models of outflows We show how well (or not) we explain BHB winds with them

Why magnetic fields?

Mhd is the popular model for Jets

Can they also explain winds? Successful attempts in case of AGN (super-massive black holes) [see Fukumura+ 2010-2015] No attempts for BHBs before us



How are the winds accelerated?

We see the absorption lines when we see through the outflow

<u>Some physical mechanism</u> is lifting material off the accretion disk

Search for the <u>accelerating physical mechanism</u> is on

Magnetic fields:

Our group has MHD (magnetohydrodynamic) models of outflows We show how well (or not) we explain BHB winds with them

Why magnetic fields?

Mhd is the popular model for Jets

Can they also explain winds? Successful attempts in case of AGN (super-massive black holes) [see Fukumura+ 2010-2015] No attempts for BHBs.yet.

Miller et.al. (2008) suggest MHD winds from spectra of GROJ 1655 ~ they found very high densities ~ implying wind launched from close to the black hole

King et.al. (2012) suggest very high velocity winds for IGR J17091-3624 ~ FeXXV lines suggest ~ 9000 km/s ~ FeXXVI lines suggest ~ 15000 km/s





Some generic properties of the MHD outflow models



The magnetic field lines for different MHD models as a function of <u>ejection index "p"</u>



Extreme winds



For <u>extreme winds</u> we need to <u>increase p</u>

- p cannot be arbitrarily increased
- it is linked to accretion
- we still do not have a model with p > 0.11

In literature: p ~ 0.5 required to explain AGN winds p ~ 0.45 to explain YSO winds

We try a rough linear extrapolation for p=0.5 Puts the wind at $5 \times 10^3 R_G$

Choice of ξ upperlimit decides the results we get. We had chosen a rather stringent upperlimit, log ξ < 4.86 Relaxing to log ξ < 6 brings the wind <u>closer by ~ 90 times</u> <u>Wind at < 10³ R_G</u>

